

WHEN AN AMALGAMATED DUPLICATION OF A RING ALONG AN IDEAL IS QUASI-FROBENIUS

NAJIB MAHDOU AND MOHAMMED TAMEKKANTE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we characterize an amalgamated duplication of a ring R along a proper ideal I , $R \bowtie I$, which is quasi-Frobenius.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity element, and all modules are unital. If M is an R -module, we use $\text{pd}_R(M)$, $\text{id}_R(M)$ and $\text{fd}_R(M)$ to denote, respectively, the classical projective, injective and flat dimensions of M . It is convenient to use “local” to refer to (not necessarily Noetherian) rings with a unique maximal ideal. With an idempotent element we means element $a \in R$ such that $a^2 = a$.

The amalgamated duplication of a ring R along an R -submodule of the total ring of quotients $T(R)$, introduced by D’Anna and Fontana and denoted by $R \bowtie E$ (see [5, 6, 7]), is the following subring of $R \times T(R)$ (endowed with the usual componentwise operations):

$$R \bowtie E := \{(r, r + e) \mid r \in R \text{ and } e \in E\}.$$

It is obvious that, if in the R -module $R \oplus E$ we introduce a multiplicative structure by setting $(r, e)(s, f) := (rs, rf + se + ef)$, where $r, s \in R$ and $e, f \in E$, then we get the ring isomorphism $R \bowtie E \cong R \oplus E$. When $E^2 = 0$, this new construction coincides with the Nagata’s idealization. One main difference between this constructions, with respect to the idealization (or with respect to any commutative extension, in the sense of Fossum) is that the ring $R \bowtie E$ can be a reduced ring and it is always reduced if R is a domain (see [5, 7]). If $E = I$ is an ideal in R , then the ring $R \bowtie I$ is a subring of $R \times R$. This extension has been studied, in the general case, and from the different point of view of pullbacks, by D’Anna and Fontana [7]. As it happens for the idealization, one interesting application of this construction is the fact that it allows to produce rings satisfying (or not satisfying) preassigned conditions. Recently, D’Anna proved that, if R is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with canonical module ω_R , then $R \bowtie I$ is a Gorenstein ring if and only if $I \cong \omega_R$ (see [5]). Note also that this construction has already been applied, by Maimani and Yassemi for studying questions concerning the diameter and girth of the zero-divisor graph of a ring (see [8]).

Recently in [4], the authors study some homological properties and coherence of the *amalgamated duplication* of a ring along an ideal.

The main result of this paper is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a ring and I a proper ideal of R . Then, $R \bowtie I$ is quasi-Frobenius if, and only if, R is quasi-Frobenius and $I = Ra$ where a is an idempotent element of R .*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E05, 16E10, 16E30, 16E65.

Key words and phrases. Amalgamated duplication of ring along an ideal; quasi-Frobenius ring; global and weak dimensions of rings; ; n-FC rings.

2. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

To proof this Theorem we need some Lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *A direct product $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ of rings is quasi-Frobenius if, and only if, each factor R_i is quasi-Frobenius.*

Proof. Follows directly from [1, Proposition 2.6] and [2, Theorem 3.1]. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R . If $R \bowtie I$ is quasi-Frobenius, then so is R .*

Proof. Suppose that $R \bowtie I$ is quasi-Frobenius. If $I = R$, we have $R \bowtie R = R \times R$. Thus, from Lemma 2.1, R is quasi-Frobenius. So, we may assume that I is a proper ideal. From [9, Theorem 1.50], $R \bowtie I$ is Noetherian and $\text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(\mathcal{J})) = \mathcal{J}$ for every ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $R \bowtie I$ where $\text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ means the annihilator over $R \bowtie I$. Then, from [6, Proposition 2.1(4)], R is also Noetherian. Now, let J be an ideal of R . We claim that $\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ann}_R(J)) = J$. The inclusion $J \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ann}_R(J))$ is clear, so we have to prove the converse inclusion. The set $J \bowtie I := \{(j, j + i) \mid j \in J \text{ and } i \in I\}$ is an ideal of $R \bowtie I$. Thus, $\text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(J \bowtie I)) = J \bowtie I$. Let $(r, r + i) \in \text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(J \bowtie I)$. Then, for all $j \in J$ we have $(rj, rj + ij) = (r, r + i)(j, j) = (0, 0)$ since $(j, j) \in J \bowtie I$. Therefore, $r, i \in \text{Ann}_R(J)$. So, if $x \in \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ann}_R(J))$ we have $(x, x)(r, r + i) = (xr, xr + xi) = (0, 0)$. Then, $(x, x) \in \text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Ann}_{R \bowtie I}(J \bowtie I)) = J \bowtie I$. So, $x \in J$ as desired. Consequently, from [9, Theorem 1.50], R is a quasi-Frobenius ring. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a local ring and I be a nonzero ideal of R . If $R \bowtie I$ is quasi-Frobenius then, R is quasi-Frobenius and $I = R$.*

Proof. Suppose that $R \bowtie I$ is a quasi-Frobenius ring. Then, by Lemma 2.2, R is also quasi-Frobenius. Moreover, from the isomorphism of R -modules $I \cong_R \text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(R, R \bowtie I)$ ([5, Proposition 3]), we deduce that I is an injective R -module since $R \bowtie I$ is self injective (From [9, Theorem 1.50]). Thus, I is projective since R is quasi-Frobenius and from [9, Theorem 7.56]. Hence, there exists a nonzero divisor element $x \in R$ such that $I = xR$ since R is local. We have the following descendent chain of ideals:

$$\dots \subseteq x^3 R \subseteq x^2 R \subseteq xR$$

Since R is Artinian (from [9, Theorems 1.50]), this chain is finite and so there is an integer n such that $x^{n+1}R = x^n R$. Then, there is an element $a \in R - \{0\}$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}a$ and so $x^n(1 - xa) = 0$. Thus, $1 = xa$ since x is a nonzero divisor element of R . Consequently, x is a unit element and $I = R$. $\square$

Using the above Lemma we have the following direct Corollary:

Corollary 2.4. *If R is local ring and I a nonzero proper ideal of R . Then, $R \bowtie I$ is never quasi-Frobenius.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{R_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a family of rings. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let I_i be an ideal of R_i . Then, there is a natural isomorphism of rings*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (R_i \bowtie I_i) \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^n R_i \right) \bowtie \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I_i \right)$$

Proof. The proof is done by induction on n and it suffices to check it for $n = 2$. But, it is clear that the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta : (R_1 \bowtie I_1) \times (R_2 \bowtie I_2) &\rightarrow (R_1 \times R_2) \bowtie (I_1 \times I_2) \\ ((r_1, r_1 + i_1), (r_2, r_2 + i_2)) &\mapsto ((r_1, r_2), (r_1, r_2) + (i_1, i_2)) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of rings, as desired. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let R be a ring and I, J be two ideals of R such that $I \cap J = \{0\}$ and $I + J = R$. Then, we have the following isomorphism of rings $R \bowtie I \cong R/I \times (R/J)^2$ and $R \cong R/I \times R/J$.*

Proof. The proof will be elementary. Since $I + J = R$, let $i_0 \in I$ and $j_0 \in J$ such that $1 = i_0 + j_0$. To see the first isomorphism we consider the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : R \bowtie I &\rightarrow R/I \times (R/J)^2 \\ (r, r + i) &\mapsto (\bar{r}, (\bar{r}, \widehat{r + i})) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that ψ is an homomorphism of rings. Moreover, if $\psi(r, r + i) = 0$, we will have $r, i \in I \cap J = \{0\}$. On the other hand, if $(\bar{r}, (\bar{r}', \widehat{r''}))$ is an element of $R/I \times (R/J)^2$, we have that $(\bar{r}, (\bar{r}', \widehat{r''})) = \psi((rj_0 + r'i_0, rj_0 + r'i_0 + r''i_0))$. Hence, ψ is an isomorphism of rings.

Also, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : R &\rightarrow R/I \times R/J \\ r &\mapsto (\bar{r}, \widehat{r}) \end{aligned}$$

is an homomorphism of rings which is injective (since $(\bar{r}, \widehat{r}) = 0$ implies that $r \in I \cap J = \{0\}$) and surjective (since for every $r, r' \in R$, we have $(\bar{r}, \widehat{r'}) = \phi(j_0r + i_0r')$). Hence, ϕ is an isomorphism of rings. $\square$

Remark 2.7. A particular case of Lemma 2.6, is by taken $I = eR$ where e is an idempotent element and $J = (1 - e)R$. Clearly, $I + J = R$ and $I \cap J = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $R \bowtie I$ is quasi-Frobenius. Then, by Lemma 2.2, R is quasi-Frobenius. Thus, from [3, Proposition 1.7], $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ where R_i is local quasi-

Frobenius. Hence, I has the form $I = \prod_{i=1}^n I_i$ where I_i is an ideal of R_i for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Thus, by Lemma 2.5, $R \bowtie I \cong \prod_{i=1}^n R_i \bowtie I_i$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $R_i \bowtie I_i$ is quasi-Frobenius for each i . Then, by Lemma 2.3, $I_i = R_i$ or $I_i = 0$. Hence, $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n)R$ where $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$. But since I is a proper ideal $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. Clearly, $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is an idempotent element of R , as desired.

Conversely, suppose that R is a quasi-Frobenius ring and let a be an idempotent element of R . Then, by lemma 2.6, $R \cong R/(a) \times R/(1 - a)$. Thus, $R/(a)$ and $R/(1 - a)$ are quasi-Frobenius and so is $R \bowtie I \cong R/(a) \times (R/(1 - a))^2$, as desired. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let R be a ring and I a proper ideal of R . Then, R is projective as an $R \bowtie I$ -module if, and only if, $I = Re$ where e is an idempotent element of R .*

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of $R \bowtie I$ -modules:

$$(\star) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \times I \xrightarrow{\iota} R \bowtie I \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R \longrightarrow 0$$

where i is the injection and $\varepsilon(r, r + i) = r$. It is obvious that R is projective (as an $R \bowtie I$ -module) if, and only if, $(\star)$ split. That imply the existence of an $R \bowtie I$ -morphism $\pi : R \rightarrow R \bowtie I$ such that $\varepsilon \circ \pi = id(R)$. If $\pi(1) := (a, a + j)$, we get $1 = \varepsilon \circ \pi(1) = \varepsilon(a, a + j) = a$. Hence, for an arbitrary element $r \in R$ and all $i \in I$, $\pi(r) = \pi((r, r + i).1) = (r, r + i)\pi(1) = (r, r + i)(1, 1 + j) = (r, r(1 + j) + i(1 + j))$. But π must be well defined. Thus, $i(1 + j) = 0$ and then $i = -ij$ for any $i \in I$. In particular, $j = -j^2$ and so $i = ij^2$. Hence, $I = Rj^2$ and $j^4 = (j^2)^2 = (-j)^2 = j^2$ (i.e; j^2 is an idempotent element of R).

Conversely, if I is generated by an idempotent e , it is easy, by considering the $R \bowtie (e)$ -morphism $\pi : R \rightarrow R \bowtie (e)$ defined by $\pi(1) = (1, 1 - e)$, to check that $(\star)$ splits. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *Let R be a quasi-Frobenius ring and I a proper ideal of R . Then, $R \bowtie I$ is quasi-Frobenius if, and only if, R is a projective $R \bowtie I$ -module.*

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.8. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein Dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., In Press. Available from math.AC/0611358v4 30 Jun 2009.
2. D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein dimensions of polynomial rings and of direct products of rings*, Houston Journal of Mathematics **25** (4), (2009), 1019-1028.
3. D. Bennis, N. Mahdou and K. Ouarghi; *Rings over which all modules are strongly Gorenstein projective*, Accepted for publication in Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. Available from math.AC/0712.0127 v1 2 Dec 2007.
4. M. Chhiti and N. Mahdou; *Some homological properties of an amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal*, submitted for publication. Available from math.AC/0903.2240 v1 12 Mar 2009
5. M. D'Anna; *A construction of Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **306** (2006), no. 2, 507-519.
6. M. D'Anna and M. Fontana; *The amalgamated duplication of a ring along a multiplicative-canonical ideal*, Ark. Mat. **45** (2007), no. 2, 241-252.
7. M. D'Anna and M. Fontana; *An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties*, J. Algebra Appl. **6** (2007), no. 3, 443-459.
8. H. R. Maimani and S. Yassemi, *Zero-divisor graphs of amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), no. 1, 168-174.
9. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif; *Quasi-Frobenius rings*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202, UNIVERSITY S. M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ, MOROCCO
E-mail address: mahdou@hotmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202, UNIVERSITY S. M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ, MOROCCO
E-mail address: tamekkante@yahoo.fr